

**COMMISSION ON TECHNOLOGY**  
**Agenda Information/Action Item**  
**Meeting Date: November 9, 2012**

<i>Agenda Item:</i>	<i>Type of Action Requested:</i>
<b><u><a href="#">PROPOSED CHANGES TO DIGITAL RECORDING STANDARDS</a></u></b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formal Action/Request  <input type="checkbox"/> Information Only  <input type="checkbox"/> Other

**FROM:**

*Mr. Stewart Bruner, AOC ITD Strategic Planning Manager, COT Staff*

**SUMMARY:**

At the September 14, 2012 Commission meeting, staff informed members of the need to review a six-year-old set of technical and operational standards related to the final recommendations of the Supreme Court's Keeping The Record Committee. Stewart will describe the steps taken in the review process and present a set of proposed changes to the document, ACJA §1-602. A blackline of changes has been provided in members' packets.

AOC's Court Services Division has been working with staff on updates and has posed a question for members about the impact on rural courts of the requirements provided for the transcript coordinator position in each court. Stewart is requesting direction and approval before posting on the ACJA Web Forum for official comments. He will also circulate the blackline to major AJC subcommittees and court associations before returning for approval to proceed to AJC.

Members will be asked to approve the draft for posting on the ACJA Web Forum in order to gather input from the larger court community. Members are encouraged to provide further comments at the forum during the formal comment period, if the proposed revision is approved for posting.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

Continue with comment process by posting on ACJA code section forum and soliciting input from subcommittees and associations.

**ACTION OPTIONS:**

**MOTION -- Post the proposed changes to the code section on the ACJA Forum:**

1. Approve the posting of the proposed changes, as presented.

2. Approve the posting of the proposed changes but with further changes, as documented.

3. Withhold approval for posting the proposed changes and provide direction for the necessary changes to the draft necessary to obtain later approval.

4. Table the consideration of posting of the proposed changes for later discussion.